

# Interior Power Flow

---

FOR THE INTERIOR POINT ALGORITHM

# The Optimal Power Flow Problem

---

Optimizes the cost to generate power

- While satisfying
  - Network flow equations
  - Physical constraints
  - Operational constraints

Non-linear

Large scale

Static optimization problem

Both discrete and continuous variables

# The Optimal Power Flow Problem

Voltage  $V_i = e_i + j f_i$

Four Objectives:

- Minimum generation cost
  - $\sum_{i=1}^g (c_{0i} + c_{1i} P_{gi} + c_{2i} P_{gi}^2)$
- Minimum active power losses
  - $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n G_{ij} [(e_i - e_j)^2 + (f_i - f_j)^2]$
- Maximum power system loadability
  - $\max S = -\min(-S)$
- Minimum load shedding
  - $\min \sum_{i=1}^c \phi_i P_{ci}$

V is voltage

Then for ith generator

$c_{0i}$   $c_{1i}$  and  $c_{2i}$  are cost coefficients (assume it's quadratic)

$P_{gi}$  is active output

$G_{ij}$  is conductance of branch for buses i and j

S is scalar of loadability factor

$\theta_i$  for ith load is percentage of load curtailment and  $P_{ci}$  is active demand

Or demand response: reduce load for a brief crisis

## Equality constraints

$$P_{gi} - (1 + S - \phi_i)P_{ci} - V_i^2 \sum_{j \in N_i} (G_{sij} + G_{ij}) + \sum_{j \in N_i} [(e_i e_j + f_i f_j)G_{ij} + (f_i e_j - e_i f_j)B_{ij}] = 0$$

$$Q_{gi} - (1 + S - \phi_i)Q_{ci} + V_i^2 \left[ B_{si} + \sum_{j \in N_i} (B_{sij} + B_{ij}) \right] - \sum_{j \in N_i} [(e_i e_j + f_i f_j)B_{ij} + (e_i f_j - f_i e_j)G_{ij}] = 0$$

$$e_i^2 + f_i^2 - (V_i^{\text{ref}})^2 = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, g.$$

Nodal active and reactive power balance equations

$P$  and  $Q_g$  are active and reactive power of generator connected at bus  $i$

$P$  and  $Q_c$  are active and reactive demand of the load connected at bus  $i$

$V_i^2 = e_i^2 + f_i^2$  so modulus of voltage

$B_{si}$  is shunt susceptance at bus  $i$

$G_{ij}$  and  $B_{ij}$  ( $G_{sij}$  and  $B_{sij}$ ) are longitudinal (shunt) conductance and susceptance of the branch linking buses  $i$  and  $j$

$N_i$  set of buses connected by branches to the bus  $i$

$S=0$  unless we deal with objective 3

$\Phi_i=0 \quad i=1, \dots, c$  if load curtailment is not allowed as control variable

Also we might have additional constraints like the one below: an equality constraint

## Inequality constraints

$$(G_{ij}^2 + B_{ij}^2)[(e_i - e_j)^2 + (f_i - f_j)^2] \leq (I_{ij}^{\max})^2, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$(V_i^{\min})^2 \leq e_i^2 + f_i^2 \leq (V_i^{\max})^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$P_{gi}^{\min} \leq \tilde{P}_{gi} \leq P_{gi}^{\max}, \quad i = 1, \dots, g$$

$$Q_{gi}^{\min} \leq Q_{gi} \leq Q_{gi}^{\max}, \quad i = 1, \dots, g$$

$$r_i^{\min} \leq r_i \leq r_i^{\max}, \quad i = 1, \dots, o$$

$$x_i^{\min} \leq x_i \leq x_i^{\max}, \quad i = 1, \dots, s$$

$$\phi_i^{\min} \leq \phi_i \leq \phi_i^{\max}, \quad i = 1, \dots, c$$

Two inequalities

Operational: secure operation of system

Physical: limits of equipment

Constraints on branch rather than active power flow through branch (active, reactive and apparent power flow)

Operational on top

And physical on bottom

Active output limits for ith generator

And r for transformer

And theta for curtailment

And x for shunt bounds on reactance

R intervenes through Gsij, Bsij, Gij, and Bij

While x intervenes through Bsi only

Polar form is more popular but both give very similar results

Rectangle give quadratic intervenes: Hessian matrix is constant

# Interior Point Method for OPF Problem

---

Iterative steps

All must be twice continuously differentiable,  $x$  is  $m$ -dimensional vector (with control variables and state variables  $\rightarrow$  real and imaginary voltage at all buses).  $G$  is  $p$ -dimensional vector of function

And  $h$  is  $q$  dimensional vector of functions

Minimize  $\min f(x)$  subject to  $g(x) = 0$  and  $h(x) \geq 0$

## Interior Point Method

---

$$\begin{aligned} \min & f(\mathbf{x}) - \mu \sum_{i=1}^q \ln s_i \\ \text{subject to:} \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Add inequality constraints to objective function as logarithmic barrier terms to eliminate them

$\mu$  is positive scalar: the barrier parameter

Gradually decreased to zero as iterations progress

The whole thing depends on this

As  $\mu$  goes to zero, the solution  $\mathbf{x}(\mu)$  converges to local optimum



## Lagrangian

---

$$L_{\mu}(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \mu \sum_{i=1}^q \ln s_i - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\pi}^T [\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{s}]$$

Transform the equality constrained optimized into unconstrained one by defining the Lagrangian:

Delta and Pi are dual variables: the vectors of Lagrange multipliers

$$\mathbf{Y} = [s, \pi, \theta, \mathbf{x}]^T$$

## Lagrangian Derivatives

---

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_s L_\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\ \nabla_\pi L_\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\ \nabla_\lambda L_\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\ \nabla_x L_\mu(\mathbf{y}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{S}\pi \\ -\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{s} \\ -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{J}_g(\mathbf{x})^T \lambda - \mathbf{J}_h(\mathbf{x})^T \pi \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

Set derivatives to zero of the Lagrangian with respect to all unknowns → KKT first order optimality conditions

S is diagonal matrix of slack variables

$\mathbf{e} = 1[\dots, 1]^T$

$\Delta f(\mathbf{x})$  is gradient of f

$\mathbf{J}_g(\mathbf{x})$  is Jacobian of  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{J}_h(\mathbf{x})$  is Jacobian of  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})$

## Iterations (Primal dual algorithm)

Iteration  $k=0$ , choose  $u(0) > 0$

Initialize  $y(0)$  so that  $s(0)$  and  $\pi(0) > 0$

Solve KKT (Karush-Kuhn Tucker) conditions for the Newton direction  $\Delta y(k)$

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y}^k) \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{s}^k \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\pi}^k \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k \\ \Delta \mathbf{x}^k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu^k \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{S}^k \boldsymbol{\pi}^k \\ \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}^k) - \mathbf{s}^k \\ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^k) \\ -\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k) + \mathbf{J}_g(\mathbf{x}^k)^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k + \mathbf{J}_h(\mathbf{x}^k)^T \boldsymbol{\pi}^k \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y}^k)$  is the second derivative Hessian matrix  $(\partial^2 L_u(\mathbf{y}^k) / \partial \mathbf{y}^2)$ .

$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{y}(k))$  is second derivative Hessian matrix of

$$\alpha^k = \min \left\{ 1, \gamma \min_{\Delta s_i^k < 0} \frac{-s_i^k}{\Delta s_i^k}, \gamma \min_{\Delta \pi_i^k < 0} \frac{-\pi_i^k}{\Delta \pi_i^k} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}^{k+1} &= \mathbf{s}^k + \alpha^k \Delta \mathbf{s}^k & \boldsymbol{\pi}^{k+1} &= \boldsymbol{\pi}^k + \alpha^k \Delta \boldsymbol{\pi}^k \\ \mathbf{x}^{k+1} &= \mathbf{x}^k + \alpha^k \Delta \mathbf{x}^k & \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k+1} &= \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k + \alpha^k \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k \end{aligned}$$

Third step

Determine max step length alpha k between 0 and 1 along Netwon direction delta y(k) such that s(k+1), pi(k+1) > 0

Gamma is between 0 to 1 is safety factor (to ensure positiveness of slack, usually set to 0.99995)

And Update the solution

$$\max \left\{ \max_i \{-h_i(\mathbf{x}^k)\}, \|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^k)\|_\infty \right\} \leq \varepsilon_1$$

$$\frac{\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^k) - \mathbf{J}_g(\mathbf{x}^k)^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} - \mathbf{J}_h(\mathbf{x}^k)^T \boldsymbol{\pi}^k\|_\infty}{1 + \|\mathbf{x}^k\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^k\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{\pi}^k\|_2} \leq \varepsilon_1$$

$$\frac{\rho^k}{1 + \|\mathbf{x}^k\|_2} \leq \varepsilon_2$$

$$\frac{|f(\mathbf{x}^k) - f(\mathbf{x}^{k-1})|}{1 + |f(\mathbf{x}^k)|} \leq \varepsilon_2$$

where  $\rho^k = (\mathbf{s}^k)^T \boldsymbol{\pi}^k$  is called complementarity gap.

$$\mu^{k+1} = \sigma \frac{\rho^k}{q}$$

Check convergence : local optimality solution

If no convergence, then update the barrier parameter and repeat (on far right)

Set  $u(k+1) = \sigma \rho(k)/q$

Sigma is usually 0.2

K++ and go to step 2

## Other methods

---

Dual Primal-dual (PD)

Predictor-corrector (PC)

Multiple Centrality Corrections (MCC)

# Speed

Minimizing power losses

Table 1  
Test systems summary

System	$n$	$g$	$c$	$b$	$l$	$t$	$o$	$s$
Nordic32	60	23	22	81	57	31	4	12
IEEE118	118	54	91	186	175	11	9	14
IEEE300	300	69	198	411	282	129	50	14

Table 2  
Number of iterations to convergence and CPU times

Interior-point algorithm	Nordic32		IEEE118		IEEE300	
	Iters.	Time	Iters.	Time	Iters.	Time
PD	11	0.31	13	0.62	16	1.63
PC	8	0.26	10	0.58	12	1.44
MCC	6	0.24	7	0.52	10	1.48

PC with 512 MB RAM and 1.7GHZ Pentium IV

Sum of power losses: active power losses

Control: slack generator active power, reactive power, transformer ratio and shunt reactance

Quality bus power balance

Inequality for generators reactive power, voltage magnitude  $\pm 0.05$ PU, transformer with controllable ratio, shunt reactance

The active losses at the optimum are: 151.74 MW for the Nordic32 system, 116.52 MW for the IEEE118 system and 386.6 MW for the IEEE300 system, respectively. This corresponds, respectively, to 7.90%, 12.31%, and 5.37% of less active losses than in the base case

## Speed 2

Minimizing overall generation costs

Table 5  
Number and type of active constraints

System	Active constraints						Total
	$P_g$	$Q_g$	$I$	$V$	$r$	$x$	
Nordic32	15	1	5	26	0	4	51
IEEE118	3	8	3	25	0	5	44
IEEE300	3	26	4	59	3	2	97

Table 4  
Number of iterations to convergence and CPU times

Interior-point algorithm	Nordic32		IEEE118		IEEE300	
	Iters.	Time	Iters.	Time	Iters.	Time
PD	21	0.82	18	1.10	23	3.02
PC	13	0.61	11	0.80	15	2.33
MCC	12	0.71	10	0.90	11	2.16

Cotntrol: slack generator active power, reactive power, transformer ratio and shunt reactiance

Equality: bus active and reactive power flow

Inequality all above plus voltages magnitude and branch currents



## Other things you can do

---

Maximizing Power System loadability

Minimizing the amount of load shedding

Increase loads proportionally over their base consumptions  
Increase covered by a single slack generator

Minimize the amount of load shedding for an infeasible power system situation such that an equilibrium point is restored

Power shedding is done at constant power factor less than 0.1 and higher than 0

Slack generator compensates active power imbalance

# Challenges

---

Security Constrained OPF (SCOPF)

Handles together base constraint and steady state security constraints relative to credible contingencies

-> extension of OPF

Two types: preventive and corrective SCOPF

High dimensionality of problem for many contingency cases and large power systems

Define harmful contingencies only

# Questions

---

Thank you for listening

## References

---

Capitanescu, Florin, et al. "Interior-point based algorithms for the solution of optimal power flow problems." *Electric Power systems research* 77.5 (2007): 508-517.

Vargas, Luis S., Victor H. Quintana, and Anthony Vannelli. "A tutorial description of an interior point method and its applications to security-constrained economic dispatch." *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on* 8.3 (1993): 1315-1324.